# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI WESTERN DIVISION

WILLIAM ROBERTS WILSON, JR., and ROBERTS WILSON, JR., P.C., Successor to WM. ROBERTS WILSON, JR., P.A.

**PLAINTIFFS** 

VS. NO. 3:09-CV-006-DH

RICHARD F. SCRUGGS, RICHARD F. SCRUGGS, P.A., SMBD, INC. f/kk/a SCRUGGS, MILLETTE, BOZEMAN AND DENT, P.A., SLF, INC. f/k/a THE SCRUGGS LAW FIRM, P.A., EDWARD J. PETERS, STEVEN A. PATTERSON, TIMOTHY BALDUCCI, DAVID ZACHARY SCRUGGS, AND JOHN DOES 1 - 10

**DEFENDANTS** 

# ANSWER OF RICHARD F. SCRUGGS TO CROSS CLAIM FILED BY STEPHEN A. PATTERSON

COMES NOW, Defendant Richard F. Scruggs ("Scruggs") and responds to the Cross Claim filed by Stephen A. Patterson ("Patterson") as follows:

- 1. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 1, to the extent this paragraph alleges a cause of action for indemnity against Scruggs, those allegations are denied. The remaining allegations contained in this paragraph are not directed at Scruggs and no response is required.
- 2. Scruggs lacks information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in paragraph 2.
- 3. Scruggs lacks information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in paragraph 3.
- 4. The allegations contained in the first sentence of paragraph 4 are denied. Scruggs lacks information sufficient to admit or deny the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 4.
  - 5. The allegations contained in paragraph 5 are denied.

- 6. Answering paragraph 6, Scruggs adopts and incorporates all previous responses made to this cross claim.
  - 7. The allegations contained in paragraph 7 are denied.
  - 8. Answering the addendum paragraph, the allegations contained therein are denied.

And now, having answered the allegations contained in Patterson's cross claim, Scruggs pleads the following affirmative defenses act as a bar to Patterson's claims.

# FIRST DEFENSE

The cross claim fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

# **SECOND DEFENSE**

Count II of the cross claim alleging breach of settlement agreement fails for lack of consideration.

# THIRD DEFENSE

The cross claim is barred by the Doctrine of Unclean Hands.

#### FOURTH DEFENSE

The claims are barred by the statute of frauds.

# FIFTH DEFENSE

Patterson's claim for punitive damages is prohibited by the due process clause of the Fourteenth Amendment of the United States Constitution and by Article 3, Section 14 of the Mississippi Constitution.

Imposition of punitive damages against Scruggs in this case would deprive Scruggs of rights secured to it by the due process clause of the Fourteenth Amendment of the United States Constitution for the following reasons:

- (a) The procedures may result in the award of joint and several judgments against multiple defendants for difference alleged acts of wrongdoing;
- (b) The procedures fail to provide means for awarding separate judgments against multiple defendants;
- (c) The procedures fail to provide a limit on the amount of the award against this defendant;
  - (d) The procedures fail to provide specific standards for the award of punitive damages;
- (e) The procedures fail to provide specific standards for the amount of the award of punitive damages;
- (f) The procedures permit the award of punitive damages upon satisfaction of a standard of proof less than that applicable to the imposition of criminal sanctions;
- (g) The procedures permit multiple awards of punitive damages for the same alleged conduct;
- (h) The procedures fail to provide a clear and consistent appellate standard of review of an award of punitive damages;
- (i) The procedures may permit the admission of evidence relative to punitive damages in the same proceeding during which liability and compensatory damages are determined;
- (j) The standard of conduct upon which punitive damages are sought is vague, and fails to give adequate notice of the conduct prescribed.

Respectfully submitted,

JACKS, ADAMS & NORQUIST, P.A. Attorneys for Defendant Richard F. Scruggs

By: /s/Jamie F. Jacks

GERALD H. JACKS, Miss Bar No. 3232 JAMIE F. JACKS, Miss. Bar No. 101881

Post Office Box 1209 Cleveland, Mississippi 38732

Telephone: 662/843-6171

### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Jamie F. Jacks, counsel for Defendant, Richard F. Scruggs, do hereby certify that I have this day electronically filed the above and foregoing document with the Clerk of the Court using the ECF system, who forwarded a copy of same to the following:

Charles M. Merkel, Jr. Merkel & Cocke, P.A. P.O. Box 1388 Clarksdale, Mississippi 38614

Cynthia I. Mitchell Merkel & Cocke, P.A. P.O. Box 1388 Clarksdale, Mississippi 38614

Vicki R. Slater Vicki R. Slater, Attorney at Law, P.A. P.O. Box 23981 Jackson, MS 39225-3981

J. Cal Mayo, Jr. Pope S. Mallette Paul B. Watkins, Jr. Mayo Mallette, PLLC 2094 Old Taylor Road 5 University Office Park P.O. Box 1456 Oxford, MS 38655

Judson M. Lee P. O. Box 2629 Madison, MS 39130

Michael D. Greer P. O. Box 907 Tupelo, MS 38802

Hiram C. Eastland, Jr. Eastland Law Offices, PLLC 307 Cotton Street Greenwood, MS 38930

and I certify that I have mailed the document by U. S. Mail, postage prepaid, to the following non-ECF participants:

William B. Kirksey Kirksey & Associates P.O. Box 33 Jackson, MS 39205-0033

Timothy Balducci #12739-042 Satellite Camp - Unit F P.O. Box 699 Estill, SC 29918

This, the 15<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2009.

/s/Jamie F. Jacks
JAMIE F. JACKS